COURT - I

Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

IA Nos. 347 & 348 of 2012 in DFR No.1346 of 2012

Dated: 6th November, 2012

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. V.J. Talwar, Technical Member

Central Railway Mumbai

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution

Co. Ltd. & Anr.

....Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s): Dr. Ashwani Bhardwaj

ORDER

This is an Application to condone the delay of 1445 days in filing the Appeal as against the main Order dated 20.06.2008. Apart from that, another application has been filed to condone the delay of 64 days in re-filing the Appeal.

We have heard the learned counsel for the Applicant/Appellant.

It is noticed that from the very beginning, there was consistent delay on the part of the Applicant/Appellant both in filing the Review Petition before the State Commission and also in filing the Appeal before this Tribunal as well as in re-filing the Appeal after curing the defects.

The main Order had been passed on 20.06.2008, but the Applicant/Appellant had chosen to file the Review before the State Commission only on 14.07.2010, i.e., after two years. The State Commission has rightly dismissed the said Review Petition on 28.10.2010 on the ground that the same was filed with huge delay, which was not explained properly, and also on the ground that the same was not maintainable as there was no error apparent on the face of the record.

Thereafter, the Applicant/Appellant filed an Appeal No. 16 of 2011 before this Tribunal as against the Review Order. However, the same had been withdrawn on 14.03.2011 on the ground that the Appeal against the Order passed in Review is not maintainable.

Even then, the Applicant/Appellant has not chosen to file the Appeal as against the main Order dated 20.06.2008 immediately after the withdrawal of the Appeal against the Review Order. On the contrary, the Applicant/Appellant filed the Appeal only on 27.07.2012, i.e., after one year, and the same was filed with some defects.

The Registry noticed the defects and directed the learned counsel for the Applicant/Appellant to cure those defects by sending a defect notice in the month of August 2012. Despite the

3

receipt of the notice, the defects in filing the Appeal were not cured

within the stipulated time. But they re-filed the Appeal only on

18.10.2012 along with an Application to condone the delay of 64

days in re-filing the Appeal.

Thus, on a perusal of the affidavit explaining the delay, it

would indicate that there is consistent lack of diligence on the part

of the Applicant/Appellant to approach the proper forum either by

filing the Review Petition before the State Commission or by filing

an Appeal before this Tribunal.

In view of the above, we find that there is no sufficient cause

to condone the enormous delay of 1445 days in filing the Appeal as

well as to condone the unexplained delay of 64 days in re-filing the

Appeal.

Accordingly, both the Applications to condone the delay are

dismissed. Consequently, the Appeal is also rejected.

(V.J. Talwar) Technical Member (Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam) Chairperson

ts/vs